Cas	3:10-cv-00721-WQH-CAB	Document 17	Filed 03/18/11	PageID.92	Page 1 of 2
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ELSA MANULID,		CASE	E NO. 10cv72	21 WQH (CAB)
12	VS.	Plaintiff	, ORDI	ER	
13		CODT			
14	SYCUAN CASINO & RE entity; SYCUAN BAND (KUMEYAAY NATION, AUNITED STATES OF AM	SOR1, an OF THE an entity;			
15 16	UNITED STATES OF AN DOES 1-30, Inclusive,	MERICĂ;			
17		Defendants	<u>.</u>		
18	HAYES, Judge:				
19	BACKGROUND On April 6, 2010, Plaintiff filed her Complaint against Sycuan Casino & Resort, Sycuar Band of they Kumeyaay Nation, and Dr. Donald Weiss. (ECF No. 1). On September 9, 2010, the United States filed a Notice of Certification of Scope of Employment for Defendant Dr. Donald Weiss, a Notice of Substitution of United States of				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	America as Defendant in Place of Donald Weiss, M.D. and a Motion to Dismiss the Complaint				
25	which was granted.				
26	On September 27, 2010, Plaintiff filed a Request to Enter Default against Sycuan				
27	Casino & Resort and Sycuan Band of Kumeyaay Nation. (ECF No. 11). On September 28,				
28	2010, the Clerk declined to enter default because the proof of service "does not state that the copies were left with Mr. Forman [general counsel for Sycuan Casino & Resort and Sycuan				
	copies were left with Mr. Fo	orman [general o	counsel for Sycu	ıan Casıno &	Resort and Sycuan

Band of they Kumeyaay Nation], and nothing indicates with whom (specifically) the 2 documents were left." Id. Since September 27, 2010, the docket reflects that no action has 3 been taken by either party in this case. On February 4, 2011, this Court issued an Order which stated: 4 5 Pursuant to Local Rule 41.1, "[a]ctions or proceedings which have been pending in this court for more than six months, without any proceeding or discovery having been taken therein during such period, may, after notice, be dismissed by the court for want of prosecution." S.D. Cal. Civ. Local Rule 41.1; see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). It appearing to the Court that dismissal 6 7 for want of prosecution may be appropriate in this case, Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE as to why this case should not be dismissed 8 without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

Plaintiff shall file a written response to this ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE on or before March 4, 2011. If Plaintiff does not respond, the Court 9 10 will dismiss this case without prejudice. 11 (ECF No. 16 at 2) (emphasis omitted). To date, Plaintiff has failed to respond to the Order to 12 Show Cause. Accordingly, this case is dismissed without prejudice. The Clerk shall close the 13 case. 14 DATED: March 18, 2011 15 WILLIAM O. HAYES United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28